

Assessment of Groundwater Quality of Rumuodomaya Community in Rivers State, Nigeria

Ugwoha, E.^{1,2*} and Umoh, E.T¹

Centre for Occupational Health, Safety and Environment, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding Author's Email Address: ugwohaej@gmail.com

Abstract

This study assessed the groundwater quality of Rumuodomaya Community in Rivers State, Nigeria. Six boreholes, denoted as R1 to R6, were randomly selected for the study. Groundwater samples were obtained from the selected boreholes and subjected to physicochemical and bacteriological tests in accordance with established procedures. The results obtained showed that the measured physicochemical and bacteriological parameters were within the Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) and the World Health Organization (WHO) permissible limits for drinking water except for pH with values ranging from 4.8 to 5.8. The computed water quality index, using both NSDWQ and WHO standards, ranged from 38.90 – 34.50 to 59.79 – 62.51, indicating good to poor water quality status. The single factor analysis of variance carried out between the mean values of the measured water quality parameters and the standards gave a P-value (0.0734 and 0.0816 for NSDWQ and WHO, respectively) > 0.05, indicating that there is no significant difference between the measured values and standards. The same analysis of variance carried out between the mean values of the measured water quality parameters and sampling points gave a P-value (0.00193) < 0.05, indicating a significant difference in water quality parameters from the different groundwater sampling points. It is therefore concluded that the groundwater in Rumuodomaya community is acidic and hence unfit for drinking without treatment to reduce the acidity.

Keywords: Groundwater, Rumuodomaya community, Drinking water quality, Water quality index

Received: 14th January, 2023

Accepted: 30th March, 2023

1. Introduction

Water is universally recognized as an essential and vital natural resource for the life of people, plants, animals, and other living things. This is seen in how it is used for residential, agricultural, transportation, recreation, sand mining, industrial, and environmental purposes (Stricklin, 2020). Although the earth is thought to be 70% covered in water, the quality of different water sources, including rain, streams, surface water, and groundwater, is poor because of the presence or concentration of pollutants, especially in developing economies where the majority of people lack access to portable drinking water (WHO, 2010). In fact, according to estimates by Foka *et al.* (2018), only 58% of urban regions and 39% of rural areas in Nigeria have access to clean, portable drinking water, which is attributable to population growth and urbanization. Thus, groundwater is a major source of water consumption in many Nigerian cities, exemplified by borehole water. However, academics and

regulatory bodies globally are becoming concerned about the health effects of the quality of water. As a result of its implications for individual well-being, public health, national productivity, and development, it has also attracted growing interest as a topical matter at numerous local, national, and worldwide conferences, seminars, and workshops. The United Nations (2015) acknowledges this reality and includes access to clean water as one of its seventeenth (17th) sustainable development objectives.

The biological, chemical, and physical properties of groundwater as they relate to its suitability for a particular purpose are referred to as groundwater quality. It simply explains the types, levels, and concentrations of pollutants in the groundwater that affect its appropriateness for drinking or other applications. According to research, natural groundwater is generally dangerous since it can be contaminated by human activity, nature/ecosystems, and the geology of the surrounding environment (Lapworth, 2017). This

2.2 Collection of groundwater samples

The water pump was first turned on and left running for at least five (5) minutes before sampling was done. Before taking a representative sample, the sampling vessel was flushed thrice with groundwater, taking care to prevent air bubbles. In-situ measurements were made for some parameters. Each sample container was cleaned twice, labelled properly, and kept in an ice-cooled box. According to established protocols and in accordance with those used by Raimi *et al.* (2022) and Raimi *et al.* (2017), the conserved samples were sent to the laboratory.

2.3 Analysis of groundwater samples

Physicochemical and bacteriological parameters of groundwater were measured as described in the subsequent subsections.

2.3.1 In-Situ measurements

Measurements of pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids, dissolved oxygen, and temperature were made in situ using the HACH test kit, CE/890 Advanced Portable laboratory, after instrument calibrations.

2.3.2 Laboratory measurements

Measurements of Chloride (salinity), Total Suspended Solids, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Total Alkalinity, Sulphate, Nitrate, Phosphate, Hardness, and Metals (Sodium, Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium, Copper, Iron, Chromium, Lead, Zinc, Cadmium, Nickel, Manganese, and Vanadium) were carried out in the laboratory using the following standard methods: ASTM D 512 C; APHA 2540 D; ASTM D 1252; APHA 5210 D; ASTM D1067; ASTM D516; APHA 4500-NO₃-E; APHA 2340C; ASTM D-1971 & ASTM D-3974; and 9221 A-C, 9221 D & 9221 E, respectively. These variables were measured in order to assess the quality of the water and evaluate whether it is suitable for human use.

2.4 Calculation of water quality index (WQI)

The World Health Organization (WHO) drinking water quality standard and the Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) were utilized to determine the WQI using a total of nine (9) physicochemical properties. The calculation of WQI was made using weighed Arithmetic index method (Brown *et al.*, 1970) following the steps below:

Step 1: Collect data of various physicochemical water quality parameters.

Step 2: Calculate the Proportionality constant (k) using Equation (1).

$$k = \left(\frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{t=1}^n s_i} \right]} \right) \tag{1}$$

where *s_i* is the standard permissible for the *n*th parameter.

Step 3: Calculate quality rating for nth parameter (Q_n) where there are n parameters using Equation (2).

$$Q_n = 100\{(V_n - V_{io})/(S_n - V_{io})\} \tag{2}$$

where *V_n* is the estimated value of the *n*th parameter of the given sampling station, *V_{io}* is the ideal value of *n*th parameter in pure water, and *S_n* is the standard permissible value of the *n*th parameter.

Step 4: Calculate unit weight (W_n) for the nth parameter using Equation (3).

$$W_n = \frac{k}{S_n} \tag{3}$$

Step 5: Calculate WQI using Equation (4).

$$WQI = \left(\sum W_n \cdot Q_n \right) / \sum W_n \tag{4}$$

The calculated WQI was compared to the standard WQI table (Table 1) to determine quality status.

Table 1: WQI level and water quality status (Chatterjee, 2001)

Water Quality Level	Quality Index	Water Quality Status
0 – 25		Excellent Water
26 – 50		Good Water
51 – 75		Poor Water
76 – 100		Very Poor Water
>100		Unfit for drinking

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Water quality parameters

Table 2 summarises the quality parameters of groundwater from six sampling points in Rumuodomaya Community. The results revealed that the measured values of pH and temperature are

not within the standard limits (6.5 – 8.5 for pH and ambient (25°C) for temperature). On the contrary, water quality parameters such as electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, turbidity, chloride, alkalinity, total hardness, dissolved oxygen, BOD₅, COD, sulphate, phosphorus, nitrate, sodium, potassium, and zinc are below the standard limits. Still, total suspended solids, iron, lead, manganese, calcium, magnesium, total coliforms and faecal coliforms are below detection limits.

The measured temperature ranged from 28.80 to 30.13°C with a mean value of 29.70°C. According to Kostas and Dimitra (2012), the solubility of gases and salts depends significantly on the water's temperature. Also, the dissolution of oxygen in water increases with decreasing temperature (Kostas et al, 2012). In addition, metabolic rate, rates of development, timing, and success of reproduction, as well as the mobility, migration patterns, and susceptibility of organisms to poisons, parasites, and disease, are all impacted by temperature (Kostas et al, 2012). pH values observed ranged between 4.5 and 5.8 with an average value of 5.4, indicating acidity. Acidic water is typically detrimental since it may dissolve iron from pumping stations and mains and result in corrosion issues. Such acidic pH has been reported for Emevor Community in Niger Delta region of Nigeria by Owamah (2020). However, a contrary

finding was reported by Olasoji et al. (2019) for groundwater in a peri-urban town in the Southwest region of Nigeria. Temperature and pH as well as other measured water quality parameters were within standards. The observed difference is believed to be due to location difference.

3.2 Statistical analysis

The mean values of all the nine detectable average individual parameters were compared with Sampling Points R1 to R6, NSDWQ and WHO standards. Tables 3, 4 and 5 represent single factor ANOVA outputs. In Table 3, P-value (0.00193) < 0.05 was observed, showing a statistically significant difference in the concentrations of water quality parameters among the groundwater sampling points. Table 4 represents mean of detectable parameters compared with NSDWQ. P-value (0.0734) > 0.05 was observed, indicating that there was no statistically significant difference between the mean concentrations of water quality parameters and NSDWQ standard. Table 5 represents mean of detectable parameters compared with WHO. P-value (0.08157) > 0.05 was observed, implying that there was no statistically significant difference between the mean concentrations of water quality parameters compared with WHO standard.

Table 3: ANOVA output of concentrations of water quality parameters from various groundwater sampling points

<i>Source of Variation</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>F crit</i>
Between Groups	31023.96	8	3877.995	3.753089	0.00193	2.152133
Within Groups	46497.64	45	1033.281			
Total	77521.6	53				

Table 4: ANOVA output of measured concentrations of water quality parameters and NSDWQ standard

<i>Source of Variation</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>F crit</i>
Between Groups	199444.3	1	199444.3	3.67137	0.0734	4.493998
Within Groups	869187.7	16	54324.23			
Total	1068632	17				

Table 5: ANOVA output of measured concentrations of water quality parameters and WHO standard

<i>Source of Variation</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>F crit</i>
Between Groups	191112.2	1	191112.2	3.45449	0.08157	4.493998
Within Groups	885165.5	16	55322.84			
Total	1076278	17				

Table 2: Water quality parameter of groundwater in Rumuodomaya Community

S/No.	Parameter	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	NSDWQ	WHO
		Av. Value	Av. Value	Av. Value	Av. Value	Av. Value	Av. Value	Max. Level	Max. Level
1	pH	5.8	5.5	4.8	5.2	5.6	5.5	6.5 -8.5	6.5-8.5
2	Temp. (°C)	28.8	29.9	29.5	30.13	29.9	30.1	AMBIENT	Na
3	Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	81	15	239	57	41	37	1000	Na
4	Total Dissolved Solid (mg/l)	40	7.0	117	28	20	18	500	1000
5	Turbidity (NTU)	0.351	0.35	0.35	0.351	0.351	0.351	5	5
6	Chloride (mg/l)	38.0	4.0	85	12.2	10	8.0	250	250
7	Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO ₃)	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.2	2.20	2.4	Na	Na
8	Total Hardness (mg/l as CaCO ₃)	14.01	2.0	12.01	6.01	10.21	10.41	150	150
9	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	8.2	8.4	5.8	5.60	7.2	8.0	Na	Na
10	BOD ₅ (mg/l)	2.88	3.2	3.2	3.20	1.28	1.76	Na	Na
11	COD (mg/l)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	Na	Na
12	Sulphate (mg/l)	2.04	0.96	11.64	2.64	1.68	1.98	100	250
13	Phosphorus (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	0.119	Nil	Nil	Nil	Na	Na
14	Nitrate (mg/l)	0.80	0.40	6.031	1.296	1.468	1.55	50	10
15	Sodium (mg/l)	15	Nil	17.72	NIL	Nil	NIL	200	200
16	Potassium (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	15.54	9.997	Nil	NIL	Na	Na
17	Total Suspended Solids (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	NIL	NIL	Nil	NIL	Na	Na
18	Iron (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	NIL	NIL	Nil	NIL	0.3	0.3
19	Lead (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	NIL	NIL	Nil	NIL	0.01	0.01
20	Manganese (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	NIL	NIL	Nil	NIL	0.2	0.1
21	Zinc (mg/l)	Nil	0.44	0.003	0.104	0.061	0.602	3	3
22	Calcium (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.003	Na
23	Magnesium (mg/l)	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	20	Na
24	Total Coliforms (cfu/ml)	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	10	Na
25	Faecal Coliforms (cfu/1ml)	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	0	0

4. Conclusion

Assessment of groundwater quality in Rumuodomaya community was carried out. The results obtained showed that the measured water quality parameters were within the Nigerian Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ) and the World Health Organization (WHO) permissible limits for drinking water except for pH with values ranging from 4.8 to 5.8. The computed water quality index, using both NSDWQ and WHO standards, ranged from 38.90 – 34.50 to 59.79 – 62.51, indicating good to poor water quality status. The single factor analysis of variance carried out between the mean values of the measured water quality parameters and the standards gave P-value (0.0734 and 0.0816 for NSDWQ and WHO, respectively) > 0.05, indicating that there is no significant difference between the measured values and standards. The same analysis of variance carried out between the mean values of the measured water quality parameters and sampling points gave P-value (0.00193) < 0.05, indicating significant difference in water quality parameters from the different groundwater sampling points. It is therefore concluded that the groundwater in Rumuodomaya community is acidic.

References

- Annapoorna, H. and Janardhana, M. R. (2015) Assessment of groundwater quality for drinking purposes in rural areas surrounding a defunct copper mine Science Direct Aquatic Procedia, International Conference on Water Resources, Coastal and Ocean Engineering.
- Brown, R.M., McClelland, N.I., Deininger, R.A. and Tozer, R.G. (1970) A water quality index: Do we dare? Water Sewage Works, 117(10): 339-343.
- Chatterjee, A. (2001) Water supply waste disposal and environmental pollution engineering (Including Odour, Noise and Air Pollution and its Control). 7th ed. Delhi: Khanna Publishers.
- Foka, F.E., Yah, C.S. and Bissong, M.E. (2018) Physico-chemical properties and microbiological quality of borehole water in four crowded Areas of Benin City, Nigeria, During Rainfalls, Shiraz E-Medical, 19(11):1-8.
- Kostas, V. and Dimitra, V. (2012) Water quality monitoring and assessment. InTech Janeza Trdine 9, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia.
- Lapworth, D.J., Nkhuwa, D.W., Okotto-Okotto, J., Pedley, S., Stuart, M.E., Tijani, M.N. and Wright, J. (2017) Urban groundwater quality in sub-Saharan Africa: current status and implications for water security and public health. Hydrogeology Journal, 25(4): 1093–1116.
- Olasoji, S.O., Oyewole, N.O., Abiola, B. and Edokpayi, J.N. (2019) Water Quality Assessment of Surface and Groundwater Sources Using a Water Quality Index Method: A Case Study of a Peri-Urban Town in Southwest, Nigeria. Environments, 6(2):23-33.
- Owamah, H.I. (2020) A comprehensive assessment of groundwater quality for drinking purpose in a Nigerian rural Niger Delta community. Groundwater for Sustainable Development, 10, 2020, 100286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2019.100286>.
- Raimi, M.O. and Sabinus, C.E. (2017) An assessment of trace elements in surface and groundwater quality in the Ebocha-Obrikom Oil and Gas producing area of Rivers State, Nigeria. International Journal for Scientific and Engineering Research, 8(1):10-25.
- Raimi, O.M., Ezekwe, C.I. Bowale, A. and Samson, T.K. (2022) Hydrogeochemical and multivariate statistical techniques to trace the sources of ground water contaminants and affecting factors of groundwater pollution in an oil and gas producing wetland in Rivers State, Nigeria, Open Journal of Yangtze Oil and Gas, 7(3):1-36.
- Stricklin, T. (2020) Potential Sources of groundwater pollution. <https://www.springwellwater.com/10-potential-sources-of-groundwater-pollution/>
- WHO (2010) The international standard for drinking water guidelines for water quality. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- WHO/UNICEF (2010). Joint monitoring programme for water supply and sanitation. Meeting the MDG drinking water and sanitation target: mid-term assessment of progress. WHO; Geneva: UNICEF, New York.
- Woke, G.N. and Bolaji. B.B. (2015) Assessment of groundwater quality in Emohua Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria. Journal of Natural Sciences Research, 5(24):8-14.
- UNICEF (2007) Water, Sanitation and Hygiene in Nigeria. Unicef Information Sheet.
- United Nations Report (2015) Sustainable Development Strategies and Challenges in Developing Nations.